



## NATIONAL BIOSAFETY AUTHORITY.

### APPLICATION SUMMARY AS PROVIDED BY THE APPLICANT

**Title of application: APPLICATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RELEASE AND PLACING ON THE MARKET OF GENETICALLY MODIFIED GYPSOPHILA CUT FLOWERS IN KENYA.**

<b>1.0 General Information</b>
<b>1.1 Applicant details</b>
<b>Name;</b> IMAGINATURE Ltd.
<b>Contact address;</b> 8 ELIYHAU SHAMIR ST. MISHMAR HASHIVA 5029700 ISRAEL
Tel: +972-3-960-2525
E-mail: <a href="mailto:info@imaginature.co.il">info@imaginature.co.il</a> <a href="mailto:peter@beautyli.com">peter@beautyli.com</a>
<b>2.0 Information on the Genetically Modified Organism</b>
The application applies to Transgenic <i>Gypsophila paniculata</i> containing PAP1 gene. <i>Gypsophila</i> naturally has only white flowers. There are few commercial <i>Gypsophila</i> varieties containing pink flowers, however the pink color is not stable and tends to fade and vanish under heat conditions, therefore these varieties are suitable mainly for cold weather territories such as EU. The transgenic <i>Gypsophila</i> which contains the PAP1 gene stably express new flower colors such as pink, green, purple and dark red in different combinations. These strong colors don't exist in nature and in commerce at all. The transgenic flower colors are stable under different environmental conditions.

PAP1 gene belongs to the An2 subgroup of Myb transcription factors and was isolated from *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Theologis et al., 2000). In *Arabidopsis* PAP1 is designated MYB75 and is itself regulated by transcription factor HY5 (Shin et al., 2013). MYB75 regulates anthocyanin biosynthesis in response to environmental and physiological factors (Teng et al., 2005). It was shown that over-expression of PAP1 in transgenic *Arabidopsis*, *petunia* and *rose* respectively is capable of activating various genes in the phenylpropanoid pathway, leading to accumulation of anthocyanin pigments (Borevitz et al, 2000; Moyal-Ben Zvi et al,2008b ; Moyal-Ben Zvi et al,2012).

The transgenic *Gypsophila* was produced using Co-cultivation with disarmed *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain carrying a binary transformation vector.

## **2.1 Intellectual Property ownership**

The genetically modified *Gypsophila* was described in the patent application WO 2016/103267.

## **3.0. Proposed release and intended use**

The proposed application is for the environmental release and placing on the market of transgenic *Gypsophila* cut flowers for flower bouquets and flower arrangements. Our goal is to grow approximately 10 hectares of the transgenic *Gypsophila* in Kenya, about 8 million transgenic *Gypsophila* cut flowers. Production of the GM *Gypsophila* will commence immediately after all regulatory approvals are granted.

## **3.1 World Wide Release of the GM organism**

At the end of 2011 we received the USDA authorization to release the cut flowers in the USA. Sporadic trial shipments to the USA were conducted since March 2015. All flowers are sent to the USA for ornamental use only. We are in the midst of trials in Israel and Colombia.

## **3.2 Intended use of the GM organism**

Cut flowers of *Gypsophila* are used mostly as filler in flower arrangements and bouquets. No anticipated change in the essence of use as cut flowers, however new colors and uses/applications shall be available for the consumer.

## **4. Risk assessment summary**

### **4.1 Evaluation of the likelihood of adverse effects**

Transgenic *Gypsophila* is designated for ornamental use only and therefore not intended for human or animal consumption as food or feed. Nevertheless, accidental consumption should be taken into account. The introduced PAP1 gene is sourced from *Arabidopsis thaliana* which is not known to be a toxic plant.

### **Toxicity and allergenicity for humans and for other organisms**

A bioinformatics analysis was performed in order to investigate the possibility that the TDNA used to produce the transgenic *Gypsophila* plants could produce proteins that may potentially share immunologic or allergic cross-reactivity with known allergens. No significant potential allergens were identified in the TDNA used to produce our transgenic *Gypsophila* plants.

In addition to the bioinformatics analysis, transgenic *Gypsophila* is unlikely to be more toxic or allergenic to humans compared to the conventional *Gypsophila* taking into consideration that anthocyanins in similar or higher levels are consumed by humans in regular diets through consumption of grapes, blueberries and others (Tanaka et al., 2009; Heinonen, 2007a; Butelli et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2006; Heinonen, 2007b).

In addition, the levels of anthocyanins in the transgenic flowers are lower or similar to many other widely cultivated plants and should therefore not be harmful when ingested by native fauna populations (Ando et al., 1999; Catalano et al., 1998).

### **Weediness**

Cultivated *Gypsophila* has not been reported as a weed in Kenya. The main reason is lied in the fact that conventional as well as transgenic *Gypsophila* does not spread by asexual reproduction without human intervention .In addition it has an extremely low potential for dispersal by natural means as it does not set seed(Rady, 2005). Transgenic *Gypsophila* does not share any life history characters with weedy species and the introduced proteins are unlikely to change these characters.

### **Transfer of introduced genes to other organisms**

The likelihood of gene transfer from transgenic *Gypsophila* to cultivated *Gypsophila* is negligible because transgenic *Gypsophila* like non transgenic *Gypsophila* cultivars are effectively sterile and do not produce seeds (<sup>14</sup>Rady, 2005). *Gypsophila paniculata* is not sexually compatible with naturalized *Gypsophila* species or with other species of the same family, and is geographically isolated from many of the populations of naturalized *Gypsophila* species. There are no records of gene transfer from non-transgenic *Gypsophila* to other plant species, so chances of gene transfer from transgenic *Gypsophila* to other plants is negligible.

### **Vegetative spread**

Like carnations, *Gypsophila* does not spread vegetatively (<sup>15</sup>Wisconsin, 2010). In areas within Kenya or other countries where conventional *Gypsophila* is widely grown, *Gypsophila* has never been found growing wild not even in the immediate vicinity of *Gypsophila* growing areas where waste material has been discarded or has been left for composting.

## 4.2 Overall risk

Transgenic *Gypsophila* poses no risk to humans and animals since it is a non food and feed. Also it does not pose any risk to the environment. Thus, commercialization of transgenic *Gypsophila* will be done bearing in mind quality assurance, quality control and monitoring measures encompassing stewardship programs.

## 5. Socioeconomic benefits (Summary)

Our target is to introduce new and exciting cut-flower varieties. The technology is only a means to meet this goal. We are targeting markets that have a regulatory system in place and are open to import transgenic flowers. Our technology is patent pending, and we will facilitate the growers in Kenya with a license to grow the varieties commercially. Kenya growers are very partial to growing cut flower crops and particularly *Gypsophila* varieties. Our transgenic *Gypsophila* varieties, will broaden their assortment of products, thus promote their market position as they are trading unique products. If approved, Kenya will be the first pioneering country in authorizing the production of these varieties. Therefore, Kenya will initially be the only source of production, enabling the produce of Kenya to obtain preferred market positioning. We expect 10-20% increase in stem price. Stem price for the end user will be higher, thus the grower will benefit proportionately. In parallel volume of sales is also expected to increase gradually, about 3% per year in the following 5 years. The combination of higher price and increased volume are expected to increase farmers annual income from *Gypsophila* stems. Growers cost of plant material is expected to stay similar and therefore affordable to growers.

## References

1. Theologis, A., Ecker, J. R., Palm, C. J., Federspiel, N. A., Kaul, S., White, O. and Lenz, C. A. (2000). Sequence and analysis of chromosome 1 of the plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature* 408; 816-820.
2. Shin, D. H., Choi, M., Kim, K., Bang, G., Cho, M., Choi, S. B. and Park, Y. I. (2013). HY5 regulates anthocyanin biosynthesis by inducing the transcriptional activation of the MYB75/PAP1 transcription factor in *Arabidopsis*. *FEBS letters* 587; 1543-1547.
3. Teng, S., Keurentjes, J., Bentsink, L., Koornneef, M. and Smeekeens, S. (2005). Sucrose specific induction of anthocyanin biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis* requires the MYB75/PAP1 gene. *Plant Physiology* 139; 1840-1852.
4. Borevitz, J.O., Xia, Y., Blount, J., Dixon, R.A. and Lamb, C. (2000) Activation tagging identifies a conserved MYB regulator of phenylpropanoid biosynthesis. *Plant Cell* 12; 2383–2393.
5. Zvi, M. M. B., Negre-Zakharov, F., Masci, T., Ovadis, M., Shklarman, E., Ben-Meir, H. and Vainstein, A. (2008b). Interlinking showy traits: co-engineering of scent and colour biosynthesis in flowers. *Plant biotechnology journal* 6; 403-415.

6. Zvi, M. M. B., Shklarman, E., Masci, T., Kalev, H., Debener, T., Shafir, S. and Vainstein, A. (2012). PAP1 transcription factor enhances production of phenylpropanoid and terpenoid scent compounds in rose flowers. *New Phytologist* 195; 335-345.
7. Tanaka, Y., Brugliera, F. and Chandler, S. (2009). Recent Progress of Flower Colour Modification by Biotechnology *International journal of molecular science* 10; 5350-5369.
8. Heinonen, M. (2007a). Review: Antioxidant activity and antimicrobial effect of berry phenolics—A Finnish perspective. *Molecular Nutrition Food Research* 51; 684–691.
9. Butelli E., Titta, L., Giorgio, M., Mock, H.-P., Matros, A., Peterek, S., Schijlen, E., Hall, R., Bovy, A. G., Luo, J. & Martin, C. (2008). Enrichment of tomato fruit with health-promoting anthocyanins by expression of select transcription factors. *Nature Biotechnol* 26: 1301-1308.
10. Wu, X., Beecher, G. R., Holden, J. M., Haytowitz, D. B., Gebhardt, S. E. & Prior, R. L. (2006). Concentrations of anthocyanins in common foods in the United States and estimation of normal consumption. *Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry* 54: 4069-4075.
11. Heinonen, M. (2007b). Review: Antioxidant activity and antimicrobial effect of berry phenolics—A Finnish perspective. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res* 51: 684-691.
12. Ando T., Saito, N., Tatsuzawau, F., Kakefudau, T., Yamakageu, E. K., Ohtani, T., Koshiishiu, M., Matsusakeu, H. Y., Kokubunu, I., Watanabeu, H., Tsukamotou, T., Uedau, Y., Hashimoto, G., Marchesid, E., Asakura, K., Harar, R. & Sekir, F. (1999). Floral anthocyanins in wild taxa of *Petunia*. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* 27: 623-650.
13. Catalano, G., Fossen, T. & Andersen, Ø. M. (1998). Petunidin 3-O-β-rhamnopyranoside-5-O-β-glucopyranoside and other anthocyanins from flowers of *Vicia Villosa*. *Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry* 49: 4568-4570.
14. Rady, M. R. (2005). In vitro culture of *Gypsophila paniculata* L. and random amplified polymorphic DNA analysis of the propagated plants. *Arab Journal of Biotechnology* 8;155-168
15. Wisconsin DNR (2010). Invasive plants of the future: Baby's breath. WI Department of Natural Resources, Madison, WI 53707-7921 USA. <http://dnr.wi.gov/invasives/fact/babysbreath.htm>, September 15, 2010.